IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4625 OF 2005

Raju Anant Durge. ... Applicant.

Versus.

The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Shri Sandeep C.Kekane with Shri Laxman P.Kamal for the Applicant.

Ms.M.M.Desmukh, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : ABHAY S. OKA, J.

DATED : 19th July, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The Application for anticipatory bail proceeds on the footing that there are three offences registered with Bhosari Police Station in connection with misappropriation of amount invested with Omkar Swarupa Nagari Credit Society. The learned A.P.P. on instructions states that there is only one C.R. registered in that behalf i.e. C.R.No.130 of 2005. It is not in dispute that the Application for anticipatory bail being Criminal Application No.3853 of 2005 was made by the Applicant as he was apprehending arrest in connection with C.R.No.130 of 2005 and the said Application has been rejected on merits by the order

dated 11th July 2005. The learned A.P.P. states that the said three other offences registered against the Applicant are of the years 2000, 1994, and 2003.

3. Considering the averments made in the application it is obvious that the Applicant is not seeking anticipatory bail in connection with the said three offences, namely C.R.No.3585/2000, C.R.No.216/ 1994 and C.R.No.1012/2003. In view of the categorical statement made by the learned A.P.P. that save and except C.R.No.130 of 2005, no other offence has been registered against the Applicant in connection with misappropriation of the amount invested by the investors with Omkar Swarup Credit Society, this Application cannot be entertained. A prayer is made in this Application for grant of anticipatory bail in the said three cases as well as in C.R.No.130 of 2005. By a detailed order, prayer for anticipatory bail as regards C.R.No.130 of 2005 is already rejected in Criminal Application No.3853 of 2005 by order dated 11th July 2005. Therefore, the said prayer cannot be considered again. Hence the Application is rejected.

Judge.